

METAL MONOLITHIC AMINE-GRAFTED SILICA FOR CO₂ CAPTURE

primary project goals

The University of Akron set out to develop a low-cost carbon dioxide (CO₂) capture technology by integrating metal monoliths with a grafted amine sorbent.

technical goals

- 1.5 mmol-CO₂/g-sorbent.
- 1.0 mmol-sulfur dioxide (SO₂)/g-sorbent.
- 500 repeated thermal cycles of sorbent between CO₂ adsorption at 25°C and desorption at 110°C with less than a 10 percent degradation in original CO₂ capture capacity.

technical content

The key innovation of this project is the utilization of metal foils with amine-grafted porous silica to fabricate a highly efficient and low-cost CO₂ adsorption system. Porous silica, alumina, zeolite, and carbon, which are used as commercial adsorbents for a wide range of applications, are impregnated with alkyl amine molecules such as monoethanolamine (MEA)/tetraethylenepentamine. The adsorption capacity of this novel amine-grated silica was determined to be greater than 1.5 mmol-CO₂/g-sorbent because of the abundance of available amine functional groups on the amine-grafted silica. The adsorption and desorption can be further optimized by the sorbent preparation procedures with additives.

The metal monolithic structure allows the rapid removal of heat of CO₂ adsorption. The surface of the metal monolith is coated with a layer of silica, carbon fibers, and a binder. Calcination of the metal monolith with this coating produces the silica or zeolite layers structure with 10-μm diameter channels. The binder and carbon fiber concentration is fine-tuned to optimize the number of the micro channel pathways for CO₂ diffusion into the amine-grafted silica and zeolite.

The University of Akron, as part of this project, has also investigated using coal fly ash treated first with sodium hydroxide (NaOH) and/or hydrochloric acid (HCl), and then impregnated with the amine tetraethylenepentamine. Coal fly ash was investigated as a support for amine due to its ready availability and low cost.

technology maturity:

Pilot-Scale Using Simulated Flue Gas, 15 kW

project focus:

Metal Monolithic Amine-Grafted Zeolites

participant:

University of Akron

project number:

FC26-07NT43086

NETL project manager:

I. Andrew Aurelio

isaac.aurelio@netl.doe.gov

principal investigator:

Steven Chuang

University of Akron

chuang@uakron.edu

partners:

FirstEnergy

performance period:

2/21/2007 – 3/31/11




Figure 1: Metal Monolithic Amine-Grafted Silica Sorbents

Figure 1 displays the amine-grafted zeolite structure (5.6 Angstroms in length) inside an adsorption unit. The amine is located in the adsorption (a) chamber within holding tubes. The tubes housed in the adsorption unit holds the individual metal (b) tubes with the amine approximately 2 to 4 mm apart. The silica or zeolite is coated inside the square metal tube. Gas enters the tube and flows through the channels (d) of the amine grafted silica, which are 10 μm in diameter. Heating for CO_2 desorption (i.e., regeneration) and cooling for adsorption are achieved by 40 pounds per square inch gauge (psig) steam and cooling water flowing through the jacket side of the adsorber. Desorbed CO_2 is purged from the channels of metal monoliths by pulses of steam and hot air. The goal is that CO_2 and SO_2 adsorption capacity of the amine will be greater than 1.5 $\text{mmol-CO}_2/\text{g-sorbent}$ and 1.0 $\text{mmol-CO}_2/\text{g-sorbent}$, respectively. The amine is capable of greater than 500 times regeneration with less than 10 percent degradation in CO_2 capacity. The amine-grafted silica sorbent is expected to exhibit a heat capacity of 1.5 kJ/kg K . The CO_2 will be captured at an approximate temperature of 50°C (140°F) and then released at approximately 110°C (230°F).

TABLE 1: PROCESS PARAMETERS FOR METAL MONOLITHIC AMINE-GRAFTED SILICA SORBENTS

	Units	Current R&D Value	Target R&D Value
Sorbent			
True Density @ STP	kg/m^3		
Bulk Density	kg/m^3	0.5	0.5
Average Particle Diameter	mm	0.05-1	<1
Particle Void Fraction	m^3/m^3		
Packing Density	m^2/m^3	.43	<0.5
Solid Heat Capacity @ STP	kJ/kg-K		
Crush Strength	kg_f		
Manufacturing Cost for Sorbent	$\text{\$/kg}$	14	12
Adsorption			
Pressure	bar	1	1
Temperature	$^\circ\text{C}$	50	<55
Equilibrium Loading	$\text{g mol CO}_2/\text{kg}$	2.4	3.1
Heat of Adsorption	kJ/mol CO_2	60	55
Desorption			
Pressure	bar	1-1.05	1-1.05
Temperature	$^\circ\text{C}$	100-110	100-110
Equilibrium Loading	$\text{g mol CO}_2/\text{kg}$	2.4	3.1
Heat of Desorption	kJ/mol CO_2		

TABLE 1: PROCESS PARAMETERS FOR METAL MONOLITHIC AMINE-GRAFTED SILICA SORBENTS

	Units	Current R&D Value	Target R&D Value
Proposed Module Design		<i>(for equipment developers)</i>	
Flow Arrangement/Operation	-		
Flue Gas Flowrate	kg/hr		
CO ₂ Recovery, Purity, and Pressure	% / % / bar		
Adsorber Pressure Drop	bar		
Estimated Absorber/Stripper Cost of Manufacturing and Installation	$\frac{\$}{\text{kg/hr}}$		

Definitions:

STP – Standard Temperature and Pressure (15°C, 1 atm).

Sorbent – Adsorbate-free (i.e., CO₂-free) and dry material as used in adsorption/desorption cycle.

Manufacturing Cost for Sorbent – “Current” is market price of material, if applicable; “Target” is estimated manufacturing cost for new materials, or the estimated cost of bulk manufacturing for existing materials.

Adsorption – The conditions of interest for adsorption are those that prevail at maximum sorbent loading, which typically occurs at the bottom of the adsorption column. These may be assumed to be 1 atm total flue-gas pressure (corresponding to a CO₂ partial pressure of 0.13 bar) and 40°C; however, measured data at other conditions are preferable to estimated data.

Desorption – The conditions of interest for desorption are those that prevail at minimum sorbent loading, which typically occurs at the bottom of the desorption column. Operating pressure and temperature for the desorber/stripper are process-dependent. Measured data at other conditions are preferable to estimated data.

Pressure – The pressure of CO₂ in equilibrium with the sorbent. If the vapor phase is pure CO₂, this is the total pressure; if it is a mixture of gases, this is the partial pressure of CO₂. Note that for a typical pulverized coal (PC) power plant, the total pressure of the flue gas is about 1 atm and the concentration of CO₂ is about 13.2 percent. Therefore, the partial pressure of CO₂ is roughly 0.132 atm or 0.130 bar.

Packing Density – Ratio of the active sorbent area to the bulk sorbent volume.

Loading – The basis for CO₂ loadings is mass of dry, adsorbate-free sorbent.

Flow Arrangement/Operation – Gas-solid module designs include fixed, fluidized, and moving bed, which result in either continuous, cyclic, or semi-regenerative operation.

Estimated Cost – Basis is kg/hr of CO₂ in CO₂-rich product gas; assuming targets are met.

Other Parameter Descriptions:

Chemical/Physical Sorbent Mechanism – CO₂ + R-NH₂ → Carbamate/ammonium ions and Carbamic acid

Sorbent Contaminant Resistance – Sorbent capacity decreased by more than 50 percent after 30 cycles in 15 percent CO₂ and 250 parts per million (ppm) SO₂.

Sorbent Attrition and Thermal/Hydrothermal Stability – Sorbent capacity decreased by less than 10 percent after more than 500 cycles under thermal/hydrothermal conditions.

Flue Gas Pretreatment Requirements – Less than 20 ppm SO₂.

Sorbent Makeup Requirements – Less than 10 percent after 500 cycles.

Waste Streams Generated – Degraded sorbents will be re-activated.




Figure 2: Process Schematic of the Monolith Adsorber

Adsorption temperature: $< 40^{\circ}\text{C}$; desorption temperature: 105 to 115°C ; pressure: 1 to 1.3 atm.

technology advantages

- High stability for CO_2 adsorption and desorption.
- Accelerated removal of the heat of adsorption.
- Low regeneration heat duty due to the low heat capacity of the sorbent.
- Low-cost immobilized amine sorbent.

R&D challenges

- The scale-up transition from lab- to bench-scale tests.
- Temperature swing adsorption requires a long cycle time due to the heating and cooling of the sorbent.
- Contaminants, such as sulfur oxides (SO_x), will react with amine functional groups similar to the MEA process.
- Currently, the CO_2 capture capacity of the sorbent is too low.

results to date/accomplishments

- The first generation of immobilized amine sorbents underwent 500 CO_2 capture cycles with less than 15 percent degradation. Refining in composition and preparation method resulted in sorbents with high stability for more than $1,100$ CO_2 capture cycles, but lower capture capacity.
- Zeolite was shown to not be an effective support because of its hydrophilicity and small pore sizes.
- Developed a pilot-scale sorbent manufacturing process at a rate of 1 kg/hr.
- Enhanced the sorbent resistance to SO_2 poisoning by adding a proprietary additive to the CO_2 sorbent.

- A binder agent allows to agglomerate powder sorbents into rod or spherical pellets while maintaining the CO₂ capture capacity of the sorbent and yielding to low attrition rates.
- The operation under fixed-bed conditions present limitations in heat transfer: (1) slowing down the CO₂ capture process and (2) causing the sorbent to degrade.
- The CO₂ capture capacity could be increased 1.6 to 1.9 times when H₂O is present in the flue gas as compared to capture in dry conditions.
- Demonstrated the sorbent at pilot-scale, a 5-kg fixed bed. Adsorption was carried out at 55°C and desorption was with steam at 110°C.

next steps

This project ended on March 31, 2011.

available reports/technical papers/presentations

Chuang, S.S.C., “Amine absorber for carbon dioxide capture and processes for making and using the same,”

US 8377173 B₂, US Patent, Publication date: Feb. 19, 2013.

Chuang, S.S.C., “Metal Monolithic Amine-Grafted Zeolites for CO₂ Capture Power Plants,” presented at the 2010 NETL CO₂ Capture Technology Meeting, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, September 2010. <http://www.netl.doe.gov/publications/proceedings/10/co2capture/presentations/monday/Steven%20Chuang-NT43086.pdf>.

Chuang, S.S.C.; Fisher, J.; and Tanthana, J., “Metal Monolithic Amine-grafted Zeolites for CO₂ Capture,” presented at the Annual NETL CO₂ Capture Technology for Existing Plants R&D Meeting, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, March 2009. [http://www.netl.doe.gov/publications/proceedings/09/CO₂/pdfs/43086%20Akron%20amine-zeolite%20sorbent%20%28Chuang%29%20mar09.pdf](http://www.netl.doe.gov/publications/proceedings/09/CO2/pdfs/43086%20Akron%20amine-zeolite%20sorbent%20%28Chuang%29%20mar09.pdf).

Tanthana, J., and Chuang, S.S. C., “In Situ Infrared Study of the Role of PEG in Stabilizing Silica-Supported Amines for CO₂ Capture,” *Chemical & Sustainability Energy & Materials*, 3, 957-964, 2010. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/cssc.201000090/abstract>.

Fisher II, J.C.; Tanthana, J.; and Chuang, S.S.C., “Oxide-supported Tetraethylenepentamine for Carbon Dioxide Capture,” *Environmental Progress & Sust Energy*, 28 (4), 589-598, 2009. <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/ep.10363/abstract>.